## **Introduced by Senator Morrow**

## February 25, 2000

An act to amend Section 3294 of the Civil Code, relating to punitive damages.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 2056, as introduced, Morrow. Punitive damages.

Existing law provides that in an action for the breach of an obligation not arising from contract, the plaintiff may recover punitive damages in addition to actual damages. In those cases, the plaintiff may recover punitive damages where it has been proven by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has been guilty of oppression, fraud, or malice.

The bill would restrict in any action filed on or after January 1, 2001, the pleading or awarding of punitive damages where punitive damages have awarded in another state or federal court for the same conduct, as specified. The bill would also require punitive damage awards in these cases to be reduced by the amount of prior punitive damage awards.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:* 

- 1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds as follows:
- 2 (a) There is no adequate mechanism to determine
- 3 when multiple awards of punitive damages against a
- 4 defendant for the same course of conduct may exceed the

SB 2056 **—2—** 

3

12

17

19

25

37

amount necessary to punish and deter the conduct at issue.

- (b) Multiple awards of punitive damages beyond that amount may unfairly exhaust funds which would otherwise be available to pay compensatory damages to deserving claimants.
- (c) Multiple awards of punitive damages beyond the amount needed to punish and deter the conduct at issue may result in needless job losses, worker dislocation, loss 10 of value to pension funds and other investors, and bankruptcies.
- (d) There is need for a mechanism to review punitive 13 damage awards in multiple actions for the same course of 14 conduct to encourage settlement of claims and to avoid disproportionate punishment and inequitable allocation 16 of resources available to compensate claimants.
- SEC. 2. Section 3294 of the Civil Code is amended to 18 read:
- 3294. (a) In an action for the breach of an obligation 20 not arising from contract, where it is proven by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has been guilty of oppression, fraud, or malice, the plaintiff, in addition to the actual damages, may recover damages for the sake of example and by way of punishing the defendant.
- (b) An employer shall not be liable for damages 26 pursuant to subdivision (a), based upon acts of an 27 employee of the employer, unless the employer had 28 advance knowledge of the unfitness of the employee and 29 employed him or her with a conscious disregard of the 30 rights or safety of others or authorized or ratified the wrongful conduct for which the damages are awarded or 32 was personally guilty of oppression, fraud, or malice. With respect to a corporate employer, the advance knowledge 34 and conscious disregard, authorization, ratification or act of oppression, fraud, or malice must be on the part of an officer, director, or managing agent of the corporation.
- (c) As used in this section, the following definitions 38 shall apply:
- (1) "Malice" means conduct which is intended by the 39 defendant to cause injury to the plaintiff or despicable

-3-SB 2056

conduct which is carried on by the defendant with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others.

3

4

5

12

17

23

- (2) "Oppression" means despicable conduct that subjects a person to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of that person's rights.
- (3) "Fraud" means an intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or concealment of a material fact known to the defendant with the intention on the part of the defendant 10 of thereby depriving a person of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury.
- (d) Damages may be recovered pursuant to this 13 section in an action pursuant to Chapter 4 (commencing 14 with Section 377.10) of Title 3 of Part 2 of the Code of Civil 15 Procedure based upon a death which resulted from a 16 homicide for which the defendant has been convicted of a felony, whether or not the decedent died instantly or 18 survived the fatal injury for some period of time. The procedures for joinder and consolidation contained in 20 Section 377.62 of the Code of Civil Procedure shall apply 21 to prevent multiple recoveries of punitive or exemplary damages based upon the same wrongful act.
- (e) In any action filed on or after January 1, 2001, 24 involving the same act or course of conduct of a 25 defendant as to which punitive or exemplary damages 26 have already been awarded in a final judgment in any 27 state or federal court, punitive or exemplary damages 28 may not be pleaded unless the court determines by clear 29 and convincing evidence in a pre-trial hearing that the 30 plaintiff will offer new and substantial evidence of 31 additional behavior on the part of the defendant, not 32 disclosed in the prior actions, other than the injury or loss 33 for which plaintiff seeks compensatory damages, and may 34 not be awarded unless the court determines by clear and 35 convincing evidence in a pre-trial hearing that the total 36 amount of prior punitive or exemplary damage awards clearly insufficient to punish the 37 defendant's 38 behavior and to deter the defendant and others from similar behavior in the future. In either event, the court shall make specific findings of fact on the record to

SB 2056 — 4—

1 support its conclusion and shall prohibit disclosure to the 2 jury of the court's determination under this subdivision.

- 3 (f) If punitive or exemplary damages are awarded in 4 an action described in subdivision (e), the court shall 5 reduce the award by the sum of the punitive or 6 exemplary damage awards previously paid by the 7 defendant in prior actions in any state or federal court 8 based on the same act or course of conduct.
- 9 (g) The amendments to this section made by Chapter 10 1498 of the Statutes of 1987 apply to all actions in which 11 the initial trial has not commenced prior to January 1, 12 1988.